

Quality of Life Outcomes in Early Onset Scoliosis

Michael Vitale, MD MPH Ana Lucia Associate Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery Chief Pediatric Spine and Scoliosis Service Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital of New York



Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital of NewYork-Presbyterian Columbia University Medical Center



Columbia Orthopaedics Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgery

Why Assess Quality of Life ?

• Realization that "technical", "traditional" endpoints have shortcomings

• Different, but better ?

• QOL may be the best endpoint to use when assessing the effect we have on our patients

Patient-Based Outcomes

 " In the field of scoliosis, there is one rule: keep your eye upon the patient, and not upon the curve." -Cobb, 1948

• "Treat the patient, not the x-rays." - Blount, 1955



OUTCOMES: Why Treat Children With Scoliosis?

- To decrease curve/stop progression curve...
 - decrease pain or increase function ?
 - for psychosocial reasons ?
 - for cosmetic reasons/self image ?
 - To improve lung function ?
- To prevent *future* progression and *future* disability
- Will QOL measures respond to any of the above?

"Quality of Life"



Health Related Quality of Life (HRQL)



Disease-Specific and Generic Measures Are Complementary and <u>*Both*</u> Necessary



Health-Related QOL

Intrinsic Difficulties in Pediatric Quality of Life Assessment

- **Developmental** issues -> need for age-based norms
- Often need to use **parent** as proxy- VALID ?
- Many procedures in pediatrics are "prophylactic"
 i.e. intended to prevent future disability...scoliosis
- Long periods of follow up needed
- Natural history of disease unclear

Psychometrics "the science of measurement"

- Score Distribution
- Validity (criterion, concurrent, face, discriminant)
- Reliability / Reproducibility
- Responsiveness

Score Distribution

Ceiling Effects

-All patients score near top of scale

• Floor Effects

-All patients score near bottom of scale

SF-36 : Ceiling Effects in AIS

- "Fatal" ceiling effects
 - i.e. too many patients scored at high end of scale despite evidence for clinical differences between patients



Quality of Life in Adolescent Orthopaedic Patients: Are Adult Measures Appropriate? Vitale et al, 2001

Who are your patients?





Validity

Does it measure what it is intended to measure?

- Concurrent / Criterion Validity

 What is correlation with "Gold Standard ?"
- Discriminant Validity
 - Does the instrument discriminate among patients with different degrees of severity ?

Reliability Is it free from random error ?

- Internal consistency do items on the same scale vary with each other ?
 Cronbachs alpha
- Reproducibility- test/retest comparison

 Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

Responsiveness Is it sensitive to change over time / treatment ?

• Does the instrument reflect changes in disease status ?

• Pre Vs Post Op

QOL is affected in Adult Patients with Scoliosis

- Schwab et al; Spine 03 Adult scoliosis patients have lower SF-36 scores
- Albert et al; Spine '95 SF-36 scores improved in adults after scoliosis surgery
- **Danielsson et al, Spine '01-** Lower physical function, not mental health scores regardless of treatment or curve size

QOL in AIS: Vitale et al, JPO 2003 QOL Before and After Scoliosis Surgery

- 40 patients followed longitudinally
- Min follow-up: 12 months
- Average # of spinal levels fused: 10
- Average degree of curvature:
 - Preop: 54 degrees
 - Postop: 22 degrees
- CHQ, PODCI, SRS Measure

Newer Pediatric Measures : CHQ

- Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ)
 - Landgraf and Ware '90. Released 1/97
 - Versions: PF 98/ <u>PF 50</u>/ PF 28/ CF 87
 - age-adjusted normative values available
 - extensively validated

Newer Pediatric Measures: PODCI

- AAOS PODCI: Pediatric Outcomes Data Collection Instrument
 - "population specific" for pediatric orthopaedics
 - Separate child and parent forms 108 q
 - Norms available

Newer Pediatric Measures: SRS

- Haher et al, Spine 1995
 - Meta-analysis of Surgical Outcomes in AIS: 2926 patients
 - Need for standardized measure of *patient* outcome
- Haher et al, Spine August1999
 Scoliosis Research Society Instrument (SRS) 24 items; 7 domains
 – Good initial validity and responsiveness

CHQ : Children with Scoliosis Have Lower Physical Scores than Norms

🗖 Scoliosis 📕 Norms



Psychosocial Scores Some Differences in "Family Health"



PODCI: Before and After Surgery



SRS: Before and After Surgery



HRQOL in AIS

- Appropriate measures pick up some differences in self-reported health status

 Pain, physical health, self image, family fx
- Expectations met (PODCI) and satisfaction high (SRS)
- Curve size does NOT seem to correlate with QOL

What are the quality of life issues in *early onset scoliosis*?



- What is QOL in patients at baseline

 ?effect of curve size, onset, comorbidities
- What is effect of treatment
 - Early fusion
 - Repetitive Surgery

Comorbidities and EOS

- Children with early onset scoliosis often have significant comorbidities and can rapidly develop thoracic insufficiency and pulmonary compromise.
- The effect of thoracic insufficiency, early onset scoliosis and associated problems can significantly effect the quality of life of these children

Early Spine Fusion is Associated with Adverse Pulmonary Outcome

- Respiratory function and cosmesis at maturity in infantile-onset scoliosis. Spine. 2003; 28: 2397-406.
 - Goldberg CJ, et al.
- Earlier and More Extensive Thoracic Fusion is Associated with Diminished Pulmonary Function: Outcomes after Spinal Fusion of 4 or more Thoracic Spinal Segments Before Age 5. Poster presentation, IMAST, Bermuda, 2004.
 - Emans JB, et al.
- The effect of early thoracic fusion on pulmonary function in nonneuromuscular scoliosis, SRS, Miami, 2005
 - Karol, L. et al.
- PFT and QOL after Early Fusion; POSNA, Ft Lauderdale, 2007
 - Vitale et al

Retrospective Cohort Study of Pulmonary Function, Radiographic Measures and Quality of Life in Children with Congenital Scoliosis: An Evaluation of Patient Outcomes after Early Fusion Vitale et al, 2006

- 7 year follow up on 27 patients with early fusion (6 yrs avg) for congenital scoliosis
- Poor PFT, Poor QOL
- *Age at fusion* and *residual curve at follow up* seems to strongly drive lung function
- Quality of life appears to be largely independent of Cobb, age and other factors

Health Related Quality of Life in Children with Thoracic Insufficiency Syndrome

- Retrospective database review of original multi-center evaluation of the VEPTR
 - Child Health Questionnaire Parent Form (CHQ)
 - Age > 5 years
- 3 diagnostic categories:
 - Rib Fusion (RF, N=15)
 - Hypoplastic Thorax Syndrome (HT, N=17)
 - Progressive Spinal Deformity (PS, N=13)

Physical Functioning

Role/Social Limitations - Physical



Large Differences in Physical Aspects of Quality of Life

Parental Impact -Emotional

Parental Impact-Time



The Impact of Caring For a Child with TIS on the Family is Profound

Conclusions: QOL in TIS

- These scores are among the lowest observed in pediatrics
 - Asthma
 - JRA
 - Heart transplant



QOL in Pediatrics

Conclusions: QOL in TIS

 Patients with thoracic insufficiency syndrome have significant and profound perturbations in QOL when compared with other children

• Much different than AIS

Traditional Endpoints and Patient Based Measures Dont Necessarily Correlate



Separate Analyses are required

Towards a Disease Specific Measure

 We need a better ruler to examine HRQOL and responsiveness in EOS







Conclusions

- EOS is bad disease
- QOL is ONE important outcome measure
- We need both disease specific measures and generic QOL measures



Thank You





mgv1@columbia.edu



Columbia Orthopaedics Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgery