

*1<sup>st</sup> International Congress on  
Early Onset Scoliosis & Growing Rods  
Madrid, Spain  
2-3 November 2007*

***Rebuttal***  
**VEPTR vs. Growing Rods**  
**Non-Congenital**

**George H. Thompson, M.D.**  
**(Growing Rods)**  
**Cleveland, OH**



# **Growing Rod Techniques in Early- Onset Scoliosis**

**Thompson GH, Akbarnia BA**

**Campbell RM, Jr**

**J Pediatr Orthop 2007; 27: 354-361**



# VEPTER in Non-congenital EOS

**16 pts with EOS without fused ribs or congenital scoliosis**

**9 females; 7 males**

**Follow-up 4.3 yrs (2-8.8 yrs)**

**Mean procedures 9.3 / pts**

**Mean curve**

- 77 ° preoperative**
- 40 ° immediate postoperative**
- 39 ° last follow-up (34%)**



## SAL

- 90% preoperative
- 100% postoperative, last F/U

## PFT (9 pts)

- MVC 61% (21-117%) postoperative

## Complications – 10 pts (63%) / 19 complications

- |                           |    |     |
|---------------------------|----|-----|
| • Implant migration       | 11 | 31% |
| • Device breakage         | 3  | 19% |
| • Postoperative infection | 3  | 19% |
| • Skin slough             | 2  | 13% |
| • Neurologic injury       | 0  | 0%  |



# “Opinion” Based Surgery

*Growing rods better than VEPTR for non-congenital spinal deformities*

Avoids surgery on a potentially normal chest wall

Better biomechanical stability

- Spine rather than rib
- Theoretical better correction





***Thank You***

