

Is Neuromonitoring necessary for VEPTR expansion and implant exchanges in Early Onset Scoliosis?

John T. Smith, MD

Professor

Department of Orthopedics

University of Utah

Chief Scoliosis Service

Primary Children's Hospital

Salt Lake City, Utah

john.smith@hsc.utah.edu



Disclosures

- DepuySynthes Spine: Consultant, Royalties, Speaker
- Children's Spine Foundation: Research Support
- Ellipse Technologies: Consultant (wife)
- Spineguard: Consultant



VEPTR

- Rib-based distraction to treat EOS
- Many etiologies
- Repetitive surgeries
- Risk of neurologic injury with repetitive distraction?



Literature: Neuromonitoring changes with lengthening procedures

- Skaggs et. al.: 0.08% (VEPTR)
- Sankar et.al.: 0.9% (GR)
- El-Harwary et. el.: 0% (VEPTR IDE)



Neuromonitoring practices among select CSF Centers

- Monitor *New* Implants:
 - 100% (SLC, Boston, CHOP, Shriners PHL, Campbell, Denver, Columbia)
- Monitor *All* Procedures
 - Boston
- Never monitor expansions unless previous neuromonitoring changes
 - SLC, CHOP, Shriners PHL, Campbell Clinic, Denver, Columbia



CSF Database Audit Documented Neuromonitoring Changes*

| | Total Implant/revision Surgeries | Total Surgeries with SSEP/MEP use | SSEP/MEP Usage % | Changes in SSEP/MEP |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| All Sites | 3358 | 880 | 25% | 1 |
| Initial/Revision | 899 | 346 | 38% | 1 |
| Expansion | 2659 | 534 | 20% | 0 |

*Preliminary data; Not completely audited



-hypothesis

Neuromonitoring is *not* necessary for routine VEPTR expansion surgery in the absence of previous neuromonitoring changes



Methods

- IRB Approved Retrospective Review of CSF Registry
- Single site (SLC)
- Single surgeon (JTS)
- Minimum follow-up of 1 year with documented physical exam



Results

- 95 children
- EOS
 - Idiopathic: 16
 - Congenital: 31
 - Neuromuscular: 36
 - Syndromic: 12
- 823 expansion or exchange procedures



Cost Estimates

- OR Monitoring Set up time @ \$45/min.:
10 minutes = \$450.
- *Average estimated* total monitoring cost per procedure: \$1500
- Estimated potential lifetime cost of VEPTR program if monitoring for expansions: \$1,234,500



Results

- Average Age: 6.05 years
- Procedures
 - Initial Implantation: 95
 - Expansion: 635
 - Revision: 98
 - Exchange: 90
- Complication rate: 20%



No documented neurologic injuries in
323 consecutive lengthening and
exchange procedures



Discussion

- Documented rate of neurologic injury with expansion surgery is low
- Cost of repetitive surgery in EOS is high
- Cost of a neurologic injury is *very* high



Conclusion

- Routine lengthening surgery has minimal if any risk of neurologic injury
- Monitoring *is* recommended if there were documented neuromonitoring changes at the time of initial implant surgery
- Eliminating routine neuromonitoring offers significant cost savings over the course of treatment



Thank You

