EOS: The Biggest Challenge in Spine !

...and finding solutions

Paul D Sponseller MD Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions Baltimore MD





Disclosures

- JBJS
 - Pediatrics Editor
- Pediatric Spine Foundation-BOD
- Thieme
- DePuy Spine
- Globus
- OrthoPediatrics



Kudos to...

Program Committee



Local Host



Behrooz A. Akbarnia

Driving force for the field

Founder of this meeting

Icon of a leader
 professionally
 personally



Decisions, Decisions...Choose Wisely



Peter O. Newton MD

Rady Children's Hospital & University of California, San Diego

Rady

Often no perfect solution

-

Buy time, even if it's hard

• Choose wisely with an eye on the final solution

EOS = Not a license for early surgery



Outline

- 1. Why EOS is the biggest challenge!
- 2. Ideas which didn't work well
- 3. Game-Changers
- 4. Role of SRS & Societies in helping
- 5. Relationship with patient/family
- 6. Ideas for the Future

1. Why EOS is the Biggest Challengers EOS vs Adult

- Challenges of Spine Formation
 Central organizing structure against space and gravity

 Congenital
 Neuromuscular
 - Connective tissue



EOS vs Adult Spine

- Smaller market
 Less funding, interest
- Higher risk
- Different physiology
- 70+ years' Life demands
- Prognostic & proactive vs reactive
 - Need certainty when advising risky procedure
 - "She's doing fine now"





Pediatrics is our "roots"...

Bracing, Ponseti vs Mehta casting

Growth guidance

Lengthen & Stabilize





Pediatrics is our "Roots"...

- Function versus appearance
- Respect for self-image as part of health





Definition of Early Onset Scoliosis

 <3yrs- James Letter to the Editor <5yrs- Ponseti, Dickson</p> <10yrs- Akbarnia, GSSG, CSSG</p>



Spine Deformity Volume 3, Issue 2, March 2015, Pages 105-106

Early Onset Scoliosis - Time for Consensus

Ron El-Hawary MD, MSc, FRCS(C) & Behrooz A, Akbarnia MD

18 YEARS 12 cm 11 YEARS 14 cm



Spine Deformity Volume 3, Issue 2, March 2015, Page 107



Consensus Statement

Early Onset Scoliosis Consensus Statement, SRS Growing Spine Committee, 2015

David L. Skaggs MD, MMM, Tenner Guillaume MD, Ron El-Hawary MD, John Emans MD, Michael Mendelow M John Smith MD, SRS Growing Spine Committee

STRUCTURAL IDIOPATHIC SCOLIOSIS IN INFANCY

A Study of the Natural History of 100 Patients

G. C. LLOYD-ROBERTS and M. F. PILCHER, LONDON, ENGLAND

From the Hospital for Sick Children, Great Ormond Street, London

James (1951, 1954) recognised and described a predominantly left-sided thoracic pattern of the spine characterised by an early onset, bad prognosis and a predilection for which he named infantile idiopathic scoliosis. It later became apparent that many pa



whose curves exhibited these properties during infancy (the year of life) did not undergo the expected relentless deterior. Scott and Morgan (1955), reporting thirty-five patients infantile idiopathic scoliosis, noted that seven resolved. J Lloyd-Roberts and Pilcher (1959) showed that many infants scoliosis recovered spontaneously within two years. The inci of resolution could not, however, be determined becaus patients reviewed were selected from the records of a sco clinic and so were likely to include a disproportionately number of deteriorating as compared with resolving curves.

In this paper we present the natural history of 100 pa with structural idiopathic curves diagnosed within the first of life who attended the orthopaedic department of a ge children's hospital. A knowledge of the probable outcome

Natural History

- GC Lloyd-Roberts
- 100 babies at Great Ormond St
- 92 resolved
 - Not always bad
- Molding of head, ribs and pelvis

Respiratory Function and Cosmesis at Maturity in Infantile-onset Scoliosis

C. J. Goldberg, M.D.,* I. Gillic,† O. Connaughton,† DP Moore, F.R.C.S.I., M.Ch.Orth.,‡

Natural History of IIS (C. Goldberg)

"Those managed by Risser jacket and brace until >10y before surgery have fair ...respiratory function and cosmesis

Those who underwent spinal fusion before age 10 years all had major recurrence of the deformity and moderateto-severe respiratory compromise.

Early surgery, even with anterior growth arrest, did not prevent deformity or arrest the decrease in pulmonary function

Theories of best treatment should be reevaluated ".

"Holy Grails" of EOS



- Growing while correcting the deformed spine
- Optimizing flexibility
- Knowing when to start and stop
- Minimizing Surgeries
- Preventing latrogenic Deformities



How do we get there?

Individual insights

Campbell, McCarthy

Study Group Efforts

PSSG

Society involvement

– SRS & others



2. Ideas which Fell Short Old Maxim



"A short straight spine is better than a short crooked spine"

– R. Winter 1986





But far from ideal!

Sometimes achieve neither!







2. Ideas which fell short

Early PSF in situ
"Thick Fusion Mass" often led to

Lordosis
Crankshaft
Shortening





2. Ideas which fell short

Moe =Temporary Single H-rods

- Poor anchorage
- No means of storing length



Spinal Instrumentation Without Fusion for Progressive Scoliosis in Young Children

Klemme, William R. MC, USA; Denis, Francis M.D.*; Winter, Robert B. M.D.*; Lonstein, John W. M.D.*; Koop, Steven E. M.D.*



2. Ideas Which Fell Short

Temporary Instrumentation

Graduation Protocol After Growing-Rod Treatment: Removal of Implants without New Instrumentation Is Not a Realistic Approach

COPYRIGHT © 2017 BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED

Ismail Aykut Kocyigit, MD, Z. Deniz Olgun, MD, H. Gokhan Demirkiran, MD, Mehmet Ayvaz, MD, and Muharrem Yazici, MD

Investigation performed at the Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Hacettepe University Hospitals, Ankara, Turkey





2. Ideas which fell short

Rib based distraction for Early-Onset Idiopathic Scoliosis





2. Ideas which fell short

- Anterior fusion and posterior Growing Rods
 - Deformity continues





3. Game-Changers

• Concepts:

- Spinal Growth
- T.I.S.
- Diminishing Returns
- C-EOS
- Techniques:
 - Mehta Casting
 - Growing implants
 - Growth guidance (Trolley, Shilla, tether)

3. Game-Changing Concepts: (Assessment of Growth & Maturity

Growth occurs in two peaks: 0-2 and PHV
Significance of peak height velocity (PHV)
What are markers of PHV?

Estimate of Peak Growth Velocity JOHNS HOPKINS

- Premenarche
 - ≤ 11 years of age
- Risser 0

• Better:



- Open triradiate cartilages
- Sanders DMS stage 3 (capped not fused)
- Sauvegrain rectangular olecranon
- Blood test?



Game-Changing Concept: (A) JOHNS HO Thoracic Insufficiency Syndrome (TIS)

The Inability of the Thorax to Support

Normal Respiration

or

Lung Growth



Campbell, Smith, et al. J Bone Joint Surg, Mar , 2003 J Bone Joint Surg, Aug, 2004

Thoracic Insufficiency Syndrom (TIS)

- Occurs with congenital, infantile or neuromuscular scoliosis
- congenital anomalies of the ribs acquired chest wall deformities
- some skeletal dysplasias
- Need to incorporate dynamics

Game Changing Concept: "Law of Diminishing Returns"



Spine stiffens with time Rigid Immobilization? Surgical exposure? Surgical stretch? Implication; surgery to be used sparingly & timely





Sankar and Skaggs

Concept Game Changer: C-EOS



Etiologies are most studied

hp1

Implication: use this to determine strategy

Etiology	Cobb Angle (Major Curve)	Maximum Total Kyphosis	Progression Modifier (optional)
Congenital/Structural	1:<20º	(-) <20 <u>°</u>	P0: <10º/yr
Neuromuscular	2: 21-50º	N: 21-50⁰	P1:10-20º/ yr
Syndromic	3: 51-90°	(+): >50°	P2: >20º/vr
Idiopathic	4:>90°		

Slide 29

hp1 hyp2102, 8/30/2012

Treatment Game-Changer Mehta Casting



- Like Ponseti, it took a while for us to catch on
- Enthusiastic disciples
 - Sanders
 - D'Astous



Treatment Game Changers: Dual (Growing) Rods

- Improved mechanics
- Stable proximal & distal "foundations"
 - Hooks or screws cranially
 - Screws distally
- Dual Rods
- Lengthen every 6-12 months – "drive the spine"
- ?Final fusion at maturity?



3. Treatment Game Changers-Luque Trolley



- Unpredictable in idiopathic EOS
- Best results in NM
- Modifications:
 - Ouellet
 - Miladi



Treatment Game-changer: Shilla

- "Self-guided" spinal growth
 Fuse/control apex
 Rods grow off screws
 5 year follow up

 Half as many procedures
 - less length gain







4. The Role of Organizations











Supported by members + Industry

Dedicated meeting: ICEOS

F^T INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON **Growing Spine & Early Onset Scoliosis:** Current & Emerging Treatment Options NOVEMBER 2-3, 2007 MADRID, SPAIN



2nd International Congress on Early Onset Scoliosis & Growing Spine

November 7-8, 2008 Marriott Château Champlain | Montr<u>éal, Québec</u>

Build Stress of Scoliosis and Growing Spine (ICEOS)



5th International Congress on Early Onset Scoliosis and Growing Spine

> November 18-19, 2011 Hilton Bonnet Creek Hotel • Orlanc

November 15-16, 2012 | Dublin, Ireland

6TH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON EARLY ONSET SCOLIOSIS AND GROWING SPINE





7TH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON EARLY ONSET SCOLIOSIS AND GROWING SPINE **NOVEMBER 21–22, 2013** Rancho Bernarda Inn, San Diego, CA



8TH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON EARLY ONSET SCOLIOSIS AND GROWING SPINE November 20-21, 2014



GSF

JOHNS HOPKINS

The Steraton Hotel, Warsow Polana

ICEOS 2015

9th International Congress on Early Onset Scoliosis (ICEOS) November 19 & 20, 2015 Seaport Hotel • Boston, MA, USA





ICEOS CC 2016 Or Utrecht Holland 17

10th International Congress on Early Onset Scoliosis **November** 17 & 18, 2016





11th International Congress on Early Onset Scoliosis (ICEOS)

November 16 & 17, 2017 Hotel Del Coronado - San Diego, CA, USA

12th International Congress on Early Onset Scoliosis (ICEOS)

November 15-16, 2018 Sheraton Lisboa Hotel & Spa Lisbon, Portugal
4. The Role of SRS and Societies of SRS and Societies of SRS in Advancing EOS care

 SRS Mission: To Foster the Optimal Care of <u>All</u> patients with Spine Deformities



SRS



- Relevant Efforts/Committees for EOS:
 - Growing Spine- define datasets
 - Education- EOS content for the masses
 - Health Policy- liaison with AAP
 - Pediatric Device TF
 - Patient Education
 - Non-operative Management- Evidence on brace/cast
 - Research Grants \$; evaluate & elevate the science
 - QSVI- Make surgery safer- checklists & processes



Imagine a World Where...

- Pedicle screws not approved for kids
- No growth connectors
- Sliding screws not approved
- Need to go to Europe or Asia for MCGR

Devices Approved for Pediatric Applications During Tenure of Task Force

- Reclassification of pedicle screws
- Reclassification of cervical screws
- Reclassification of Non-fusion, "growth friendly" posterior-based spinal systems
 - Growth Connectors
 - MCGR
 - Sliding screws
 - -VBT

SRS / OREF / POSNA Funding



Announced 11/18 – John Callaghan – Industry



FAQ | CONTACT US | NEWS | EMAIL SIGN UP Q GRANTS AND AWARDS ~ YOUR GIFTS AT WORK V ABOUT OREF ~

OREF/SRS/POSNA Transform Practice – Spinal Growth Grant

Fundina:

\$240,000 grant (\$80,000-\$120,000 per year) Grant Description / Eligibility:

- OREF/SRS/POSNA solicit investigator-initiated research proposals focused on the development of new research knowledge that will change treatment for growing spine conditions within the next five (5) years. This funding opportunity should be uniquely important to early onset/growing spine research and not readily fundable through another mechanism (NIH, PCORI, AHRQ).
- Areas of research focus may include: translational or clinical research to preferably include at least pilot human studies. Research should answer important translational or clinical questions to address unmet patient needs. Specific topics may include normative spinal growth, guided growth



SRS-EOS Learning module

- Chosen as our first module in Learning Management System
 - \$150K investment
 - High production value

Muharrem Yazici M Chairperson EOS Editorial Tea

- Muharrem Yazici, Laurel Blakemore, Larry Haber
- -HVR
- -GR
- Mehta Cast



5. Relationship with the Family of the second secon



EOS Parent Decision Tool & Ed. A JOHNS HOPKINS

Treatment of Early Onset Scoliosis (EOS): What Families Need to Know

Table of Contents

Section I. Facts to Know A. What is early onset scoliosis (EOS)? B. Causes 1. Congenital 2. Neuromuscular 3. Syndromic 4. Idiopathic C. Why is EOS a problem for my child? Section II. Treatment Options A. Background B. Information about treatment options 1 Observation 2. Bracing 3. Casting 4. Surgery a. distraction-based procedures b. growth guidance systems c. growth modulation procedures d. spinal fusions C. Summary of treatment indications Section III. Benefits and Risks A. Summary of treatment benefits B. Summary of treatment risks and complications Section IV. Risks of General Anesthesia in Children Section V. Getting Ready To Choose A. Things to consider when choosing B. Feeling ready to Choose Section VI. Glossary Section VII. References

Choosing a treatment option for your child can be hard to do. This booklet gives you information and answers to questions you may have about this decision. You and the doctor will talk about how to make a good decision based on what is best for your child and you.

Section I: Key Facts to Know

In this section you will learn about what early onset scoliosis (EOS) is, what problems it causes, and what it may mean for both you and your child if it gets worse.

A. What is EOS?

EOS is an abnormal curve of the spine in children younger than 10 years of age.

B. What caused my child to have EOS?

There are many causes for EOS. They are divided into four categories. Treatment choices differ depending on which category your child's scoliosis is in.

EOS parent videos – PSSG website – SRS/POSNA



1



6. Ideas for the Future

- Improved education outside Spine world
- Understand science of disordered physiology
- Genetics of EOS
- More understanding of natural history
- Translational science



How Do We Make Progress?

- Unusual Vision
- Charges from mentors



- Trial and error
- Fortuitous





Increased Funding for Research

- NIH
- CIHR / IRSC
- Health/Quality Research Agencies
- We should advocate for it

 Serve on study sections

 Patients should demand it
 We should elevate the science

Improve Education Outside Spine Johns HOPKINS World

- Anesthesiology
- -ID
- Pulmonary
- GI, nutrition
- -IONM

Discipline

• Anesthesiology

- Antibiotics
- Blood management
 - Smaller = riskier
- Cord perfusion
- Relevant neurology
- Working with IONM

Discipline

• ID

- Organisms by Diagnosis
- Early vs late infection mgmt.
- Is it osteo?
- We can usually save the implants!

Discipline

• Pulmonary

- Axial growth principles
- 25° vs 95° curve
- Lordosis vs kyphosis
- Large airway blockage
- Spinal intrusion
- Sternal deformities

Discipline

• GI / nutrition

- Spine/ GI physiology
- Lumbar lordosis
 - Spine-based obstruction
- Improving nutrition
 - Pre-op
 - Post-op

Discipline

• IONM, Neurology

- Different monitoring for different deformity
- Deformity/risk relationships
- Working together

Understand Science of Disordered Axial Physiology

- Airway
- Viscera
- Ribs/sternum

SPINE Volume 31, Number 23, pp 2654-2664 @2006, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

The Reciprocal Relationship Between Thoracic and Spinal Deformity and Its Effect on Pulmonary Function in a Rabbit Model

A Pilot Study

Hemal P. Mehta, MS,*† Brian D. Snyder, MD, PhD,*‡ Natasha N. Callender, BS,† Carissa L. Bellardine, MS,† and Andrew C. Jackson, PhD†







Translational Therapies

- Losartan for Marfan: helps the aorta; not the spine
- BMT & ERT for MPS: Helps the CNS; not the spine
- Nusinersen for SMA: Helps strength, not the spine
- Vasoritide for Achondroplasia?
- Need to start somewhere



Genetics of EOS

Monique GarciaOS Biobank



The EOS Biobank





Aims

- 1. Collect blood samples and fibroblasts from EOS patients
- 2. Link samples to matched clinical data from the PSSG
- 3. To establish a multi-centre, prospective, longitudinal study for EOS

Expected Outcomes

- Accelerate research advancements for EOS
- Translate discoveries into diagnostic tools and therapies

for rare disease	



The Nathan Pro for rare disease	ject			Se	earch S	amples				
	5									
		Gender	Phenotype	Sample IDs						
		Any	 Any 	Sample II	Q					
		Diagnosis	Type							
		Any						•		
		Sample Ty	e .							
	1.1	Any						*		
		Diamosis								
		Early on	Early onset scoliosis (10)							
	$(-1)^{-1} = (-1)^{-1}$	Previous	1 New:							
		ID	Sample Type	Gender	Phenotype	Diagnosis	Diagnosis Type			
		1	DNA	м	Early onset scoliosis idiopathic	Early onset scoliosis	Clinical	View		
		2	DNA EDTA blood	F	Early onset scoliosis idiopathic	Early onset scoliosis	Clinical	View		
		3	EDTA blood	F	Early onset scoliosis idiopathic	Early onset scoliosis	Clinical	View		
		4	DNA	м	Early onset scoliosis congenital	Early onset scoliosis	Clinical	View		

Better Understanding of Natural (A) JOHNS HOPKINS History

– Which EOS-I will worsen

Moving beyond RVAD

- Which congenital curves will worsen

- And worsen importantly?
- Can we tell from advanced imaging in infancy?



2013



Better Understanding of Natural (A) JOHNS HOPKINS History

– Which neuromuscular curves benefit from PSF



2013

2010



Summary

- Many factors have gotten us here
- Group wisdom & Societal resources will continue to guide progress
- To solve the biggest problem in Spine!



Thank You & Happy Thanksgiving!





2. Ideas which fell short

Temporary Single rods









The Early Onset Scoliosis Biobank





Spine Deformity

Difficult, risky to control

Magnified 10X if occurs early!



Deformity -Definition



- Deformity due to primary vertebral malformation
- Rule out infantile idiopathic scoliosis and neurogenic scoliosis







Previous Treatments

BracingMoe RodsLuque trolley





Infantile - Rx

Mehta Cast, then brace for progressive type < 4 y.o.





Steps taken to reach Storyboarding...









Grow the spine for health – Min Mehta

– John Moe



Behrooz AkbarniaGeorge Thompson





Treatment Game Changers Dual Growing Rod



- endfusion (Moe 1984)
- Distract if < 10° degrees correction
- 3.8 cm mean
- Added MMA and end fusion


Physical Examination



- HT: 79.2 cm
- WT: 10.2 kg
- BMI: N/A
- General: Healthy, happy appearing toddler with obvious spine deformity.
- Spine: Significant kyphosis and scoliosis. Kyphosis is major part of deformity. Midline incision and resection of right chest wall. Stretching prone recovers most of scoliosis and some of the kyphosis. Collapsed position when sitting.
- Neurologic Exam: Lower extremities appear normal, neurologic appears normal.



SPINE Volume 28, Number 20, pp 2397–2406 @2003, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

Respiratory Function and Cosmesis at Maturity in Infantile-onset Scoliosis

C. J. Goldberg, M.D.,* I. Gillic,† O. Connaughton,† DP Moore, F.R.C.S.I., M.Ch.Orth.,‡ E. E. Fogarty, F.R.C.S.I., F.R.A.C.S.,‡ G. J. Canny, M.D., F.R.C.P.C., F.A.A.P., F.C.C.P.,† and F. E. Dowling, F.R.C.S.I., B.Sc.‡

Angle (N = 23), and Age at Surgery (N = 17)

JOHNS HOPKINS (C Goldberg) Ig Volumes (N = 21), Surface Topography (N = 23), Latest

				-					
		Age	FVC	FEV ₁	VA	T_1S_1O	POTSI	SHS	AS1
	Age:								
	R R	1.000	.653**	653**	.670**	- 361	- 463	- 618**	796**
	P	1.000	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.154	0.061	0.008	< 0.001
	N	17	17	17	15	17	17	17	17
	FVC:								
	r	.653**	1.000	.976**	.955**	627**	767**	696*	779*
	Р	0.004		< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	N	17	23	23	21	23	23	23	23
- 27 nte-	FEV ₁ :								
	U r	.653**	.976**	1.000	.948**	605**	749**	724**	756**
	Р	0.004	< 0.001		< 0.001	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	N	11	23	23	21	23	23	23	23
	VA:								
	r	.670**	.955**	.948**	1.000	645**	730**	749**	721**
	Р	0.006	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.252	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	N	15	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
	I_1S_1O		00777	005**	0.45**	1 000	705**		
	r	361	627**	605**	.645**	1.000	.705**	.263	.318
	P	0.154	0.001	0.002	0.002	00	< 0.001	0.226	0.140
	N	17	23	23	21	23	23	23	23
	PUISI	460	707**	740**	700++	705**	1 000	E00**	C04**
	r P	403	/0/***	749	730	.705	1.000	.583	.084
	F	17	22	23	21	22	22	0.004	22
	SHS.		23	23	21	23	23	23	23
	5115. r	- 618**	- 696**	- 724**	- 7/9**	263	583**	1 000	826**
	P	0.008	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	226	0.004	1.000	<0.001
	N	17	23	23	21	23	23	23	23
	AS1:		20	20	2.	20	20	20	20
	r	796**	779**	756**	746**	.318	.684**	.826**	1.000
	P	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	.140	< 0.001	< 0.001	
	N	17	23	23	21	23	23	23	22
	Cobb:				10232225				22228
	r	574*	784**	746**	713**	.358	.578**	.644**	.896**
	Р	0,016	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	.093	0.004	0.001	< 0.001
	N	17	23	23	21	23	23	23	23

POSNA/SRS Pediatric Device Task Force Committee

- Brian D Snyder, MD, PhD. Co-Chair
- Michael Vitale, MD Co-Chair
- Behrooz A Akbarnia, MD
- B Stephens Richards, III, MD
- Richard E McCarthy, MD
- Robert M Campbell, Jr, MD
- David W Polly, Jr, MD, SRS Representative
- Laurel C Blakemore, MD
- Paul D Sponseller, MD
- Nigel J Price, MD
- William Hennrikus, MD, AAP Representative,
- John (Jack) Flynn, MD
- Peter O Newton, MD

Why	EOS	is the	biggest	challenge	
			00	J J	

Ideas which didn't work well

– Temporary instrumentation

- Early PSF
- Apical fusion (Roaf)

Game-Changers

Outline

- Crankshaft phenomenon / ASF
- Diminishing Returns
- Growth guidance (Trolley, Shilla, tether)
- T.I.S.
- Mehta casting
- C-EOS
- Role of SRS & Societies
 - Help bring resources (research grant, priority setting, PDTF)
 - EOS LMS content
 - Put in context
 - Global
 - Learn from adult ideas
 - Role in teaching other specialists
 - Advocate for appropriate healthcare funding for our patients
- The larger relationship with the patient (and the disease)
 - Patient organizations
- Ideas for the Future
 - Refine ability to predict (when resolving, when congen scoli will worsen; when height is adequate; whe
 - Role of spine in health
 - Rib and sternum
 - Maximizing mobility
 - NIH funding
 - Improved growth guidance
 - Avoid stiffening of spine and rib cage



Charges

- Evaluate current state of medical device development for pediatric use to treat musculoskeletal diseases
- Identify stages of device development for clinical use in children and relevant regulatory pathways
- Describe specific barriers and propose solutions to facilitate development and approval for pediatric devices

SRS EOS Blended Learning Program

JOHNS HOPKINS







Versus

 Challenges of wear, trauma and degeneration (gravity and homeostasis)
Variations in "normal" bone health
Variations in disc aging and composition
React to *existing* concerns Fig. 1 Simplified skeletal age assessment with the olecranon method during the accelerating pubertal growth phase of peak height velocity and Risser grade 0 from the ages of level with thirteen years in girls and from thirteen to fifteen years in boys, with a decelerating growth phase after elbow fusion

